

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,984	10/20/2005	Hideo Sato	273868US6PCT	1022	
	7590 04/14/201 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			KING, JOHN B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2435		
			NOTIFICATION DATE 04/14/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

ı No.	Applicant(s)	
	SATO, HIDEO	
	Art Unit	
g	2435	
	n No.	SATO, HIDEO Art Unit

	John B. King	2435	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final minotion		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period oxide valued 87 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	A and a state of the annual state of		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below),	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) in how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e.	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.6-8 and 11-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (
13. Mother: Claim amendments regarding the "diffusion plate" these limitations raises new issues that will require further search		is interview. However,	the addition of
/Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435	/Beemnet W Dada/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2435	